

Some (qualifier) guns should be legalized for people who live in the woods and high crime areas (claim) because they provide personal safety from wild animals and other foreign invaders (reasoning).

Gun owners should only be allowed to own two guns at a time and only after passing background checks and procuring the proper licenses (qualifier). Since not everyone can afford a personal bodyguard and public safety officers are not always nearby, it would be ideal to give them a method to defend themselves (warrant). The Second Amendment also states that people have the right to bear arms (evidence). No person should have to feel unsafe and citizens have a right to protect themselves and their families (warrant).

People who live in areas experiencing higher crime rates such as Richmond are more susceptible to crime than in other lower crime areas like Walnut Creek or Santa Clara (backing). Surveys show that seventy percent of people who live in the woods with guns are safer than those without them living in the same places (evidence). Sixty five percent of juveniles in correctional facilities own three or more illegal weapons or firearms.

One could argue that legalizing more guns will actually increase crime rates (rebuttal). Studies actually show that a high percentage of gun owners prevent crime rather than enabling it (response). Therefore, guns should be legalized (claim).